| File With | |
|-----------|--|
| | |

SECTION 131 FORM

| Appeal NO:_ABP_314485-22 | Defer Re O/H |
|---|---|
| Having considered the contents of the submission dated from Adrian and Agreta Kawagh I recommend that section 13 be not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): | 1 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000 |
| E.O.: Ret B | Date: 1910412024 |
| For further consideration by SEO/SAO | |
| Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. | |
| Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. | |
| S.E.O.: | Date: |
| S.A.O: | Date: |
| M | |
| Please prepare BP Section 131 notice end submission | closing a copy of the attached |
| to: Task No: | _ |
| Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP | |
| EO: | Date: |
| AA: | Date: |

| | | | , , , , |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| CORRESPOND | DENCE FORM | File With | S. 37 |
| Appeal No: ABP 34485-22 | | | |
| M | | | |
| Please treat correspondence received on | 2/04/2024 | as follows: | |
| | | | |
| Update database with new agent for Applicant Asknowledge with RP 23 | | SENDER with BP | † • |
| 2. Acknowledge with BP 233. Keep copy of Board's Letter | 2. Keep Envelope | | |
| | | Board's letter | |
| | | | |
| Amendments/Comments Adian and Agrala | Kalmach | | |
| 12/03/24-02 by 124 / | www. | | |
| 1210012 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 4. Attach to file (a) R/S | RETUF | RN TO EO 🗌 | |
| | | | |
| | Plans Date Sta | | |
| EO: Pot C | Date Stamped AA: A Other | · | |
| Date: 19042024 | Date: 150L | y McNally H2024 | |
| 1-104004 | 23/00 | | |

| | Plans Date Stamped |
|----------------|------------------------|
| | Date Stamped Filled in |
| EO: Pat S | AA: Anthony McNally |
| Date: 1904Coz4 | Date: 25/04/2024 |

Alfie Staunton

From:

Bord

Sent:

Wednesday 3 April 2024 09:09

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

FW: Case ABP-314485-22: REF F20A/0668

From: Adrian Kavanagh <adriank@keelingjuices.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 2, 2024 5:21 PM

To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>

Subject: Case ABP-314485-22 : REF F20A/0668

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Dear Sir/ Madame

I am mailing in relation to the Submission 04.03.24 from Tom Phillips and Associates on behalf of the DAA

We would like to point out that our home is located well inside the defined LDEN red noise 63 BD indicated on the map.

Our home is the closest dwelling to the new runway of any other home. DAA representatives have clearly told us we are not eligible for any noise insulation. Our home was fitted with what was called "noise insulation" windows and venting with the promise to carry out a follow up survey once the installation was completed and the runway was opened. We have communicated with the DAA that the windows and venting are not sufficient and that we need to have further works done.

We were made aware that we were eligible for a €25,000 noise insulation grant. This was also mentioned on the RTE Primetime program by Kenny Jacobs of the DDA When we approached DAA in relation to this, we were told we were not eligible.

DAA offered us €300,000 for our home, €300,000 for a 3 bed semi in Dublin???? This would be fine if it were 1998..

Please if you can view the submission with the view to understanding that our home is an exception to the other homes in the area and we need help. DAA dont respect us and are not interested to help us in any way

We have 2 children and are living with daily loud noise and fumes We are really unhappy with the whole situation and feel trapped

Looking forward to a favorable response

Yours faithfully

Adrian, Agneta, Vicky and Kristina Kavanagh

